Public Document Pack



BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE

TUESDAY 22ND APRIL 2025, AT 6.00 P.M.

PARKSIDE SUITE - PARKSIDE

SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTATION

The attached papers were specified as "to follow" on the Agenda previously distributed relating to the above mentioned meeting.

3. Updates to planning applications reported at the meeting (to be circulated prior to the start of the meeting) (Pages 3 - 4)

J. Leach Chief Executive

Parkside Market Street BROMSGROVE Worcestershire B61 8DA

22nd April 2025



Agenda Item 3

Bromsgrove District Council Planning Committee

Committee Updates 22nd April 2025

24/00117/S73 Land at Whitford Road, Bromsgrove

A further comment has been received from The Bromsgrove Society querying the applicant's data and modelling. The applicant has provided a response to the comment. These are both available to view on the Council's website under the application reference.

CONSULTATION COMMENT: Worcestershire Highways - Bromsgrove

The Highway Authority (Worcestershire County Council) has been further consulted on The Bromsgrove Society further comment and has advised that the Highway Authority's formal observations dated 19/03/25 remains the formal advised statutory consultation response to application 24/00117/S73.

CONCLUSION

As set out in the main report, WCC provide specialist consultation comments on highway related matters to the Local Planning Authority.

These comments explain that the data and modelling have been reviewed and it is concluded that 'Whilst there are limitations in all models, based upon the submission, the Highway Authority does not consider the model an unrealistic representation of network conditions where congestion, queuing and delays do occur and are shown to in the model outputs'.

No concerns are raised with regard to highway safety.

The information submitted by the applicant has satisfactorily demonstrated that the impact on the highway from the occupation of 49 dwellings cannot reasonably be considered severe.

It has been satisfactorily demonstrated that there is no conflict with paragraph 116 of the NPPF, nor Policy BDP16 of the BDP and therefore, the threshold for refusing the application on highway grounds is not met; the recommendation is unaltered.

